arXiv:1401.7009v3 [quant-ph] 16 Jul 2014

Bell Transform, Teleportation Operator and Teleportation-Based Quantum Computation

Yong Zhang [1] and Kun Zhang [2]

School of Physics and Technology, Wuhan University, P.R.China 430072

Abstract

We introduce the concept of the Bell transform to represent known quantum gates in the literature, which are a unitary basis transformation from the product basis to the Bell states or the Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) states. The algebraic structure of the four dimensional Bell transform has been studied systematically in this paper and we point out that it may be not a Clifford gate. The representative examples of the four dimensional Bell transform are verified as maximally entangling Clifford gates and some of them are recognized as parity-preserving gates or matchgates or Yang–Baxter gates. We define the teleportation operator in terms of the four dimensional Bell transform and apply it to the reformulation of the fault-tolerant construction of single-qubit gates and two-qubit gates in teleportation-based quantum computation. The algebraic structure of the higher dimensional Bell transform is also included and representative examples for it are verified as multi-qubit Clifford gates. Our research suggests that the Bell transform may play important roles in quantum information and computation as a new type of quantum transform.

Key Words: Teleportation, Bell states, GHZ states, Matchgate, Clifford gate, Yang–Baxter gates

PACS numbers: 03.67.Lx, 03.65.Ud, 02.10.Kn

1 Introduction

Quantum information and quantum computation [1, 2] is a newly developed research field in which information processing and computational tasks are accomplished by exploiting fundamental principles of quantum mechanics. Quantum algorithms [1, 2] are characteristic examples to show fundamental differences between classical information and computation and quantum information and computation. The quantum Fourier transform [1] plays the essential roles in Deutsch-Jozsa's algorithm [3], Simon's algorithm [4], Shor's algorithm [5] and Kitaev's phase estimation algorithm [6], see Jozsa's paper [7]. In view of the quantum Fourier transform and its applications to quantum information and computation [1], we propose a new type of quantum transform called the Bell transform and apply it to the reformulation of both quantum teleportation [8, 9, 10, 11] and teleportation-based quantum computation [12, 13, 14, 15].

We define an n-qubit Bell transform as a unitary basis transformation from the product basis to the n-qubit the Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) basis which consists of all 2^n n-qubit GHZ states [16, 17]. Note that the GHZ basis allows different forms because these GHZ states can be permuted with each other or differ by global phase factors respectively. As $n = 1$, the Bell transform is the Hadamard transform [1, 2]. As $n = 2$, the GHZ basis is the Bell basis including four EPR pair states [18], and the two-qubit Bell transform is called the four-dimensional Bell transform or the Bell state transform. As $n \geq 3$, the Bell transform is called

[1] yong_zhang@whu.edu.cn
[2] kun_zhang@whu.edu.cn

the higher dimensional Bell transform or the GHZ state transform. About the higher dimensional Bell transform, we study the algebraic structure of its matrix formulation and include known multi-qubit gates in the literature as representative examples. For simplicity, we focus on the four dimensional Bell transform in this paper and study its applications to quantum information and computation.

All the four dimensional Bell transforms define a set of two-qubit gates, in which there exist non-Clifford gates [1, 19]. However, representative examples for the four dimensional Bell transform in this paper are verified as maximally entangling Clifford gates, and they are respectively recognized as the Yang–Baxter gates [20, 21, 22], or magic gates proposed by Makhlin [23, 24], or matchgates proposed by Valiant [25, 26, 27, 28, 29], or parity-preserving two-qubit gates [29]. The Yang–Baxter gates [21, 22] are nontrivial unitary solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation [20], and quantum computation using the Yang–Baxter gates is called integrable quantum computation [30]. Quantum Clifford gate computation is associated with the Gottesman-Knill theorem [1, 19], while quantum matchgate computation is associated with the Valiant theorem [25, 26]. Note that quantum matchgate circuits and quantum Clifford circuits can be efficiently simulated [31] on a classical computer [1].

We introduce the concept of the teleportation operator [3] to characterize the circuit model of quantum teleportation [8, 9, 10, 11]. This operator is a tensor product in terms of the identity operator, the four dimensional Bell transform and its inverse. Quantum teleportation is an information protocol of transmitting an unknown qubit from Alice to Bob using quantum entanglements and quantum measurements. Meanwhile, quantum teleportation is a quantum computation primitive [12, 13, 14, 15] exploited by universal quantum computation called teleportation-based quantum computation. With the teleportation operator, we study the fault-tolerant construction [1, 19] of single-qubit gates and two-qubit gates in teleportation-based quantum computation. The successful application of the four dimensional Bell transform to both quantum teleportation and teleportation-based quantum computation suggests that there may exist new types of quantum algorithms (quantum circuit models) using the Bell transform. Note that quantum circuit of standard quantum teleportation [8, 9, 10, 11] is usually viewed as quantum Clifford gate computation [1]. Since the Bell transform may be not a Clifford gate, therefore, our reformulation of the circuit model of quantum teleportation using the Bell transform is beyond the traditional viewpoint on quantum teleportation.

The plan of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define the four dimensional Bell transform and study the algebraic structure of its matrix formulation. In Section 3, we study representative examples for the four dimensional Bell transform, including the C_H gate (7), the Yang–Baxter gate B (27), and the magic gates Q (32) and R (36). In Section 4, we define the teleportation operator to characterize the circuit of quantum teleportation, and then apply it to the reformulation of teleportation-based quantum computation. In Section 5, we study the matrix formulation of the higher dimensional Bell transform with known multi-qubit quantum gates as typical examples. In Section 6, we make an outlook on the future research. In Appendix A, we study the relation between permutation gates and Clifford gates. In Appendix B, we recognize the inverses of the Bell transforms C_H, B, Q and R are Clifford gates. In Appendix C, we present exponential formulations of the Bell transforms B, Q and R with associated two-qubit Hamiltonians. In Appendix D, we prove the general formulae of the teleportation equations (55) and (56).

[3] Note that the concept of the teleportation operator is a kind of generalization of the braid teleportation [32] as a tensor product in terms of the identity operator, the Yang–Baxter gate [21, 22] and its inverse.

2 The four dimensional Bell transform

In this section, we study both the state dependent definition and matrix formulation of the four dimensional Bell transform. Especially, we verify that it may be not a Clifford gate [1, 19], although representative examples (see Section 3) for it are Clifford gates.

2.1 The Bell states

A single-qubit Hilbert space [1, 2] is a two-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_2$, and a two-qubit Hilbert space is a four dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$. The orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_2$ is chosen as the eigenvectors $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ of the Pauli matrix Z with $Z|0\rangle = |0\rangle$ and $Z|1\rangle = -|1\rangle$. The Pauli matrices X and Z with the identity matrix $\mathbb{1}_2$ have the conventional form

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{1}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

with the Pauli matrix $Y = ZX$. The product basis of $\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ denoted by $|i, j\rangle$ or $|ij\rangle$ or $|i\rangle \otimes |j\rangle$ with $i, j = 0, 1$, are eigenvectors of the parity-bit operator $Z_1 Z_2$ with

$$Z_1 Z_2 |i, j\rangle \equiv Z \otimes Z |i, j\rangle = (-1)^{i+j} |i, j\rangle \tag{2}$$

where $i + j$ with binary addition modulo 2 represents the parity-bit of the state $|i, j\rangle$ and the lower index of Z_i represents the i-th qubit Hilbert space. Obviously, $|00\rangle$ and $|11\rangle$ are even-parity states, while $|01\rangle$ and $|10\rangle$ are odd-parity states.

The Bell states $|\psi(i, j)\rangle$ [15] are maximally entangled bipartite pure states widely used in quantum information and computation, denoted by

$$|\psi(i, j)\rangle = (\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes X^j Z^i)|\psi(0, 0)\rangle \equiv X_2^j Z_2^i |\psi(0, 0)\rangle, \tag{3}$$

with $|\psi(0, 0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$ and $i, j = 0, 1$. They are simultaneous eigenvectors of the parity-bit operator $Z_1 Z_2$ and the phase-bit operator $X_1 X_2$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 X_2 |\psi(i, j)\rangle &= (-1)^i |\psi(i, j)\rangle, \\ Z_1 Z_2 |\psi(i, j)\rangle &= (-1)^j |\psi(i, j)\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

with the phase-bit i and the parity-bit j. The Bell states $|\psi(i, j)\rangle$ give rise to an orthonormal basis of the two-qubit Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$, which is called the Bell basis or maximally entangling basis [1, 2].

2.2 The state dependent definition of the four dimensional Bell transform

The Bell transform is defined as a unitary basis transformation matrix from the product basis $|i, j\rangle$ to the Bell basis $e^{i\alpha_{kl}}|\psi(k, l)\rangle$ with the global phase factor $e^{i\alpha_{kl}}$ depending on k and l (which are functions of i, j, i.e., $k(i, j)$ and $l(i, j)$), so it is a one-to-one function between $|i, j\rangle$ and $e^{i\alpha_{kl}}|\psi(k, l)\rangle$ given by

$$e^{i\alpha_{kl}}|\psi(k, l)\rangle = B_{ell}|ij\rangle \tag{5}$$

where the notation B_{ell} denotes the Bell transform.

Our first example for the Bell transform is the C_H gate defined by

$$|\psi(i, j)\rangle = C_H |i, j\rangle \tag{6}$$

which has the matrix form

$$C_H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

With the Hadamard gate H and the $CNOT$ gate [1, 2] given by

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X + Z), \quad CNOT = |0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes X, \tag{8}$$

the Bell transform C_H can be formulated as

$$C_H = CNOT \cdot H_1 \tag{9}$$

with $H_1 = H \otimes \mathbb{1}_2$. The associated diagrammatical quantum circuit given by

$C_H =$ 

(10)

has been widely exploited in the standard description of quantum teleportation [1, 12].

2.3 The matrix formulation of the four dimensional Bell transform

The state dependent formulation (5) of the four dimensional Bell transform gives rise to its matrix form,

$$B_{ell} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} e^{i\alpha_{kl}} |\psi(k,l)\rangle\langle ij|, \tag{11}$$

with the help of C_H gate (7),which can be reformulated as

$$B_{ell} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} e^{i\alpha_{kl}} C_H |kl\rangle\langle ij|. \tag{12}$$

With the permutation gate P and the phase gate E given by

$$P = \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} |kl\rangle\langle ij|, \quad E = \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} e^{i\alpha_{kl}} |ij\rangle\langle ij|, \tag{13}$$

the four dimensional Bell transform has the form

$$B_{ell} = C_H P E. \tag{14}$$

Hence any specific Bell transform can be expressed as a product of the C_H gate, the phase gate E and the permutation gate P. Obviously, when P and E are identity gates, the Bell transform is the C_H gate (7).

Note that in Appendix A there is a brief study on the permutation gate P (13) and its higher dimensional generalization and in Appendix D there is a comment on the role of the phase gate E (13) in quantum teleportation.

2.4 The four dimensional Bell transform may be not a Clifford gate

The set of all tensor products of Pauli matrices [1, 19] acting on n qubits with phase factor $\pm 1, \pm i$ is called the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_n$. Clifford gates [1, 19] are defined in two equivalent approaches. They are unitary quantum gates preserving tensor products of Pauli matrices under conjugation, or they can be represented as tensor products of the Hadamard gate H, the phase gate S and the $CNOT$ gate. The phase gate S has the form

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

and obviously $S^2 = Z$ and $S^\dagger = S^3$ with Hermitian conjugation $\dagger$. Note that the $\pi/8$ gate or T gate [1, 33] is a square root of the phase gate S, i.e., $S = T^2$, given by

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{16}$$

which is not a Clifford gate, since transformations of elements of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_1$ under conjugation by the T gate have the form

$$TXT^\dagger = W, \quad TZT^\dagger = Z \tag{17}$$

in which $W = \frac{X-iY}{\sqrt{2}}$ is a Clifford gate [1, 19].

Note that tensor products of the H gate, S gate and $CNOT$ gate, these basic Clifford gates, are only able to generate the phase factors ± 1 and $\pm i$. Quantum computation of Clifford gates can be efficiently simulated on a classical computer in view of the Gottesman-Knill theorem [1, 19], whereas Clifford gates with the T gate [33] are capable of performing universal quantum computation [1, 2].

Generally, the four dimensional Bell transform is not a Clifford gate. The reason is that both the C_H gate and the permutation gate P (13) are Clifford gates but the phase gate E (13) is a Clifford gate only in a rather special case. In Appendix A, the four dimensional permutation gate P is verified as a Clifford gate. The phase gate E is a diagonal matrix in the product basis, and has a natural decomposed expression

$$E = e^{ia_1} e^{ia_2 Z_1} e^{ia_3 Z_2} e^{ia_4 Z_1 Z_2}, \tag{18}$$

where the parameters a_i are decided by α_{kl} (5) and $Z_1 = Z \otimes \mathbb{1}_2$, $Z_2 = \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes Z$. As the matrix entries of the phase gate E are not ± 1 or $\pm i$, the phase gate E can not be a Clifford gate.

For example, we construct the Bell transform C_{HT} given by

$$C_{HT} = C_H(T \otimes \mathbb{1}_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ 1 & 0 & -e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{19}$$

with the associated state dependent formulation given by

$$|\psi(i,j)\rangle = (e^{-\sqrt{-1}\frac{\pi}{4}})^i C_{HT}|ij\rangle. \tag{20}$$

The phase gate $E = T_1$ is not a Clifford gate since the T gate (16) is not, so the C_{HT} gate is not a Clifford gate. On the other hand, the generators the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_2$ on two qubits, X_1, X_2, Z_1, Z_2, are transformed under conjugation by the C_{HT} gate (19) in the way

$$\begin{array}{ll} C_{HT}X_1C_{HT}^\dagger = Z_1 + iZ_1X_1X_2; & C_{HT}X_2C_{HT}^\dagger = X_2; \\ C_{HT}Z_1C_{HT}^\dagger = X_1X_2; & C_{HT}Z_2C_{HT}^\dagger = Z_1Z_2 \end{array} \tag{21}$$

where $Z_1 + iZ_1X_1X_2$ is not an element of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_1$, so the C_{HT} gate (19) is verified not as a Clifford gate.

As a remark, the proposal of the concept of the four dimensional Bell transform (5) in this paper is mainly based on the reformulation of the standard description of quantum teleportation (see Section 4). Since quantum teleportation is a well-known example for quantum Clifford gate computation [1, 19], the Bell transform should be assumed as a Clifford gate in principle, however, which is not true according to the above study. Therefore, our research on quantum teleportation using the Bell transform is beyond the standard understanding of quantum teleportation using Clifford gates.

3 Representative examples for the four dimensional Bell transform

With the definition of the four dimensional Bell transform (5) or (14), it allows different forms so that it is capable of including various of examples in the literature. We focus on the four dimensional Bell transform, which is a matchgate (or its extension, a parity-preserving gate), since quantum computation of matchgates (or parity-preserving gates) has been well studied in [25, 26, 27, 28, 29].

Representative examples for the four dimensional Bell transform in this paper include the C_H gate (7), the Yang–Baxter gate B (27), and the magic gates Q (32) and R (36). The B and Q gates are recognized as matchgates and the R gate is recognized as a parity-preserving gate. The C_H, B, Q, R gates are verified as Clifford gates [1, 19] in three equivalent approaches.The entangling powers [35, 36, 37] of the C_H, B, Q, R gates are calculated to verify them as maximally entangling gates.

Obviously, there are many other examples for the four dimensional Bell transform which are not parity-preserving gates or Clifford gates. Hence a simple (intuitive) classification of the four dimensional Bell transform is presented to complete this section.

3.1 Parity-preserving gates and matchgates

The notation on the parity-preserving gate [26, 29] refers to our research on integrable quantum computation [30], and it has the form

$$G(A_G, B_G) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & 0 & 0 & \omega_7 \\ 0 & \omega_5 & \omega_3 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_4 & \omega_6 & 0 \\ \omega_8 & 0 & 0 & \omega_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{22}$$

with two $SU(2)$ matrices A_G and B_G given by

$$A_G = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \omega_7 \\ \omega_8 & \omega_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_G = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_5 & \omega_3 \\ \omega_4 & \omega_6 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{23}$$

The parity-preserving gate $G(A_G, B_G)$ (22) has very good algebraic properties,

$$\begin{aligned} G(A_G, B_G)^\dagger &= G(A_G^\dagger, B_G^\dagger), \\ G(A_G, B_G)G(C_G, D_G) &= G(A_GC_G, B_GD_G). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Note that the $G(A_G, B_G)$ matrix is called the parity-preserving gate because it commutes with the parity-bit operator Z_1Z_2 due to $Z_1Z_2 = G(\mathbb{1}_2, -\mathbb{1}_2)$.

When the determinants of the two $SU(2)$ matrices A_G, B_G are equal, $det(A_G) = det(B_G)$, the parity-preserving gate is a matchgate [26, 29]. When we call a gate as a parity-preserving gate, we usually mean it is a parity-preserving non-matchgate. Quantum computation of matchgates can be classically simulated [25], and it plays important roles in the research topic [31] of distinguishing classical computation with quantum computation. A matchgate with single-qubit gates [26] are capable of performing universal quantum computation, while a matchgate with a parity-preserving gate [29] can do too.

3.2 The Yang–Baxter gates B and B'

The Yang–Baxter gate B is a solution of the Yang–Baxter equation [20] and has been recently recognized as a two-qubit quantum gate [21, 22]. Its state dependent definition is given by

$$|\psi(j+1, i+j)\rangle = (-1)^{(i+j)\cdot(j+1)} B|ij\rangle \tag{25}$$

with the multiplication $(i+j)\cdot(j+1)$ as the logical AND operation between $i+j$ and $j+1$, and its matrix formulation has the form of $B = C_H P_B E_B$ with the permutation gate P_B and the phase gate E_B respectively given by

$$P_B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{26}$$

Hence the Yang–Baxter gate B has the matrix form given by

$$B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{27}$$

which is the matchgate $B = G(A_B, A_B^{-1})$ with the $SU(2)$ matrix $A_B = e^{\frac{\pi}{4}Y}$. The Yang–Baxter gate B is a real orthogonal matrix leading to its inverse and transpose given by $B^T = B^{-1} = G(A_B^{-1}, A_B)$ which is also the Bell transform and the matchgate. Quantum computation of the Yang–Baxter gate B can be therefore viewed as an interesting example for quantum matchgate computation [25, 26, 27, 28, 29].

Note that the other Yang–Baxter gate B' [34], which is not regarded as a representative example for the four dimensional Bell transform in this paper but is to be exploited in Subsection 3.5 and Section 5, has the form $B' = G(A_B, A_B)$ as a matchgate. It has the matrix form

$$B' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{28}$$

with the permutation gate $P_{B'}$ and the phase gate $E_{B'}$ given by

$$P_{B'} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} |i+1, i+j\rangle\langle i, j|, \quad E_{B'} = \mathbb{1}_4. \tag{29}$$

3.3 The magic gates Q and R

With the magic gates Q and R exploited in [23, 24], tensor products of two single-qubit gates, $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$, can be proved to be isomorphic to the special orthogonal group $SO(4)$.

The magic gate Q [23] given by

$$|\psi(i, i+j)\rangle = (-\sqrt{-1})^j Q|ij\rangle \tag{30}$$

with the imaginary unit $\sqrt{-1}$ has the matrix formulation of $Q = C_H P_Q E_Q$ with

$$P_Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix}. \tag{31}$$

Therefore the magic gate Q has the matrix form

$$Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & i & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \tag{32}$$

and it is the matchgate $Q = G(A_Q, B_Q)$ with two single-qubit gates A_Q and B_Q given by

$$A_Q = H\,S, \quad B_Q = i\,A_Q\,Z. \tag{33}$$

The magic gate R [24] given by

$$|\psi(i, i+j)\rangle = (\sqrt{-1})^i (\sqrt{-1})^{i+j} R|ij\rangle \tag{34}$$

has the matrix formulation of $R = C_H P_R E_R$ given by

$$P_R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}. \tag{35}$$

Hence the magic gate R has the matrix form

$$R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & -i & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix}. \tag{36}$$

It is the parity-preserving gate $R = G(A_R, B_R)$ with single-qubit gates

$$A_R = -i\,B_Q, \quad B_R = -B_Q, \tag{37}$$

which give rise to $R = Q \cdot G(Z, -\mathbb{1}_2)$. Obviously $det(A_R) \neq det(B_R)$, so the R gate is a non-matchgate.

Operation	Input	Output
C_H	X_1	Z_1
	X_2	X_2
	Z_1	X_1X_2
	Z_2	Z_1Z_2
B	X_1	X_1
	X_2	X_1Z_2
	Z_1	$-Y_1Y_2$
	Z_2	$-X_1X_2$
Q	X_1	Z_1X_2
	X_2	$-\sqrt{-1}Y_1Z_2$
	Z_1	X_1X_2
	Z_2	Y_1Y_2
R	X_1	X_1Z_2
	X_2	$\sqrt{-1}Z_1Y_2$
	Z_1	X_1X_2
	Z_2	Y_1Y_2

Table 1: Transformation properties of elements of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_2$ under conjugation by the Bell transforms $B_{ell} = C_H, B, Q, R$.

3.4 Representative examples are Clifford gates

We recognize the Bell transforms C_H, B, Q and R as Clifford gates [1, 19]. The elements of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_2$ on two qubits are transformed under conjugation by the Yang–Baxter gate B (27) in the way

$$\begin{array}{ll} BX_1B^\dagger = X_1, & BX_2B^\dagger = X_1Z_2, \\ BZ_1B^\dagger = -Y_1Y_2, & BZ_2B^\dagger = -X_1X_2, \end{array} \tag{38}$$

so the Yang–Baxter gate B is a Clifford gate preserving the Pauli group under conjugation. See Table 1 for transformation properties of X_1, X_2, Z_1 and Z_2 under conjugation by the Bell transforms $B_{ell} = C_H, B, Q, R$.

In the following, we formulate the Bell transforms B, Q, R respectively as a product of the $CNOT$ gate, the H gate and the phase gate S in two equivalent approaches. The $CNOT_{ij}$ gate is the controlled-NOT gate with qubit i as the control and qubit j as the target. Note that the CZ gate has the form of

$$CZ_{21} = (H \otimes \mathbb{1}_2) CNOT_{21} (H \otimes \mathbb{1}_2), \tag{39}$$

and $CZ_{12} = CZ_{21}$, so the CZ gate is a Clifford gate.

In the first approach, the matrix formulation of the four dimensional Bell transform (14) informs: when it is a Clifford gate, its associated permutation gate P and the phase gate E can be certainly decomposed as a product of the $CNOT$ gate, the H gate and the phase gate S. Therefore, the four dimensional Bell transforms B, Q, R are decomposed respectively as

$$\begin{aligned} B &= CNOT_{12}\, H_1 X_1 CNOT_{21} CZ_{12} CNOT_{21}, \\ Q &= CNOT_{12}\, H_1 CNOT_{12} S_2, \\ R &= CNOT_{12}\, H_1 S_1 S_2 CNOT_{12}. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

In the second approach, with the research work [28] by Ramelow et al., the parity-preserving gate $G = G(A_G, B_G)$ (22) has been reformulated as

$$G(A_G, B_G) = CNOT_{12}\, CU_{21}\, (A_G \otimes \mathbb{1}_2) CNOT_{12} \tag{41}$$

where the controlled-U gate CU_{21} given by

$$CU_{21} = \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0| + B_G A_G^{-1} \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1| \tag{42}$$

can be further decomposed as tensor products of $CNOT$ gates and single-qubit gates, see Nilesen and Chuang's description on controlled operations [1]. As an example, the Yang–Baxter gate B has the form

$$B = CNOT_{12} CZ_{21} (Z\,H \otimes \mathbb{1}_2) CZ_{21} CNOT_{12}, \tag{43}$$

or equivalently

$$B = CNOT_{12} (Z\,H \otimes \mathbb{1}_2) CNOT_{21} CZ_{21} CNOT_{12}, \tag{44}$$

which has another more simplified form

$$B = CNOT_{21} (\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes Z\,H) CNOT_{21}. \tag{45}$$

For other Bell transforms Q, R, we have the decomposition such as (45) respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= CNOT_{12} (H\,S \otimes S) CZ_{21} CNOT_{12}, \\ R &= CNOT_{12} (H\,S^\dagger \otimes S^\dagger) CNOT_{12}, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

with $S^\dagger = SZ$.

In Appendix B, we derive the explicit forms of the inverses of the Bell transforms C_H, B, Q and R, denoted by C_H^{-1}, B^{-1}, Q^{-1} and R^{-1}, and then verify them respectively as Clifford gates.

3.5 Representative examples are maximally entangling gates

The product states are separable states and the Bell states are maximally entangled states [1, 2], so it is reasonable to require the Bell transform and its inverse as maximally entangling two-qubit gates in any entanglement measurement theory [35, 36]. We calculate the entangling powers [37] of both the Bell transforms C_H, B, Q, R and their inverses to support this statement.

With the magic gates Q or R [23, 24], two-qubit entangling gates in the special unitary group $SU(4)$ can be characterized by the homogenous space $SU(4)/SO(4) \otimes SO(4)$, namely, two-qubit entangling gates are locally equivalent when they are associated with single-qubit transformations. So any two-qubit gate U [38] can be characterized by three non-local parameters (a, b, c) which give rise to a locally equivalent two-qubit gate $e^{i(aX\otimes X + bY\otimes Y + cZ\otimes Z)}$. The entangling power $e_p(U)$ [29] of the two-qubit gate U has the form

$$e_p(U) = 1 - \cos^2 2a \cos^2 2b \cos^2 2c - \sin^2 2a \sin^2 2b \sin^2 2c$$

with the maximum 1, which is useful enough in our purpose.

The non-local parameters (a, b, c) of the Bell transform C_H (7) and its inverse C_H^{-1} are the same as those of the $CNOT$ gate which can be calculated as $(\frac{\pi}{4}, 0, 0)$. After some algebra, those of the Yang–Baxter gate B (27) and its inverse B^{-1} are found to also be $(\frac{\pi}{4}, 0, 0)$.

The magic gate Q (32) and its inverse Q^{-1} are locally equivalent to the inverse of the Yang–Baxter gate $B' = e^{\frac{\pi}{4} Y\otimes X}$ (28) given by

$$(B')^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{47}$$

Class of the four dimensional Bell transform	Example
Non-Clifford-and-non-parity-preserving gate	C_{HT}
Clifford-and-non-parity-preserving gate	C_H
Clifford-and-parity-preserving gate	R
Clifford-and-matchgate	$B\&Q$
Matchgate-and-non-Clifford gate	B_T
Parity-preserving-and-non-Clifford gate	R_T

Table 2: The classification of the four dimensional Bell transform.

with $Q = (B')^{-1}(Z \otimes S)$ or $Q^{-1} = (\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes S^\dagger)(B')^{-1}(Z \otimes \mathbb{1}_2)$, so Q, Q^{-1} and $(B')^{-1}$ have the same non-local parameters. Obviously, the $(B')^{-1}$ gate has non-local parameters $(\frac{\pi}{4}, 0, 0)$.

The magic gate R (36) and its inverse R^{-1} can be also respectively reformulated in terms of the Bell transform $(B')^{-1}$ (47),

$$\begin{aligned} R &= e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{4} Z\otimes Z} (B')^{-1}(S \otimes \mathbb{1}_2), \\ R^{-1} &= (S \otimes \mathbb{1}_2)(B')^{-1} e^{i\frac{\pi}{4} Z\otimes Z} (\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes Z) \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

which give rise to non-local parameters of R and R^{-1} as $(\frac{\pi}{4}, 0, \frac{\pi}{4})$.

Hence the entangling powers of the Bell transforms C_H, B, Q, R and their inverses can be calculated exactly as 1, so they are maximally entangling gates.

3.6 Exponential formulations of the Bell transforms B, Q and R

In Appendix C, we study exponential formulations of the Bell transforms B, Q, R with associated two-qubit Hamiltonians, so we can show the essential difference between the matchgates B, Q and the non-matchgate R from the viewpoint of universal quantum computation [1, 2].

3.7 The classification of the four dimensional Bell transform

Besides the above examples for the four dimensional Bell transform, including the C_H gate (7), the C_{HT} gate (19), the Yang–Baxter gates B (27) and B' (28), the magic gates Q (32) and R (36), we construct the other two Bell transforms B_T and R_T given by

$$B_T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ 0 & 1 & -e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -ie^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ 0 & -i & -e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} & 0 \\ 0 & -i & e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & ie^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{49}$$

where $B_T = BT_1$ is a matchgate, and $R_T = RT_1$ is a parity-preserving gate, and B_T and R_T are not Clifford gates. See Table 2 in which there is the classification of all examples for the four dimensional Bell transform in this paper. This classification aims at making two things clear: the Bell transform may be not a Clifford gate and the Bell transform may be not a matchgate. Hence the application of the Bell transform to quantum information and computation is beyond both the Gottesman-Knill theorem [1, 19] associated with quantum Clifford gate computation and the Valiant theorem [25, 26] associated with quantum matchgate computation.



Figure 1: Quantum circuit for quantum teleportation as a diagrammatical representation of the teleportation equation (55) in terms of the teleportation operator (53). The diagram is read from the left to the right. The single lines denote qubits and the double lines denote classical bits. The box B_{ell} (or B_{ell}^{-1}) denotes a two-qubit gate which is the Bell transform B_{ell} (or its inverse B_{ell}^{-1}). The box $U_{ij}^{-1}V_{kl}^{-1}$ denotes local unitary correction operators.

B_{ell}	U_{ij}	V_{kl}
C_H	X^jZ^i	X^lZ^k
Q	$(-\sqrt{-1})^jX^{i+j}Z^i$	$(\sqrt{-1})^lX^{k+l}Z^k$
B	$Z^{j+1}X^{i+j}$	$Z^{l+1}X^{k+l}$
R	$(\sqrt{-1})^jZ^iX^{i+j}$	$(-\sqrt{-1})^lZ^kX^{k+l}$

Table 3: Local unitary operators U_{ij} and V_{kl} with $i, j = 0, 1$ and $k, l = 0, 1$ in the teleportation equation (55) for the Bell transforms $B_{ell} = C_H, B, Q, R$. Here $\sqrt{-1}$ denotes the imaginary unit and $i + j$ is the binary addition modulo 2. The local unitary operators U_{ij} and V_{kl} for C_H and Q have the form of products of the Pauli matrix X and the Pauli matrix Z, while for B and R have of the Pauli matrix Z and the Pauli matrix X.

4 Teleportation operator and teleportation-based quantum computation

To show the Bell transform may give rises to new types of quantum algorithms (or quantum circuit models) in quantum information and computation [1, 2], we introduce the teleportation operator (53) or (54) in terms of the four dimensional Bell transform (5) or (14) to characterize the standard description of quantum teleportation [8, 9, 10, 11]. With the teleportation operator, we make a thorough study on the the fault-tolerant construction of single-qubit gates and two-qubit gates in teleportation-based quantum computation [12, 13, 14, 15].

4.1 Quantum teleportation

Quantum teleportation [8, 9, 10, 11] is an information protocol with which an unknown qubit is sent from Alice to Bob by successfully performing the operations including state preparation, Bell measurement, classical communication and unitary correction.

Alice and Bob share the Bell state $|\psi(0,0)\rangle$ and Alice wants to send an unknown quantum state $|\phi\rangle$ to Bob, namely, they prepare the quantum state $|\phi\rangle \otimes |\psi(0,0)\rangle$ reformulated as

$$|\phi\rangle \otimes |\psi(0,0)\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=0}^{1} |\psi(i,j)\rangle \otimes X^jZ^i|\phi\rangle \tag{50}$$



Figure 2: Fault-tolerant construction of the single-qubit gate U in teleportation-based quantum computation as a diagrammatical representation of the teleportation equation (58) in terms of the teleportation operator (53).

B_{ell}	U	R_{ij}	S_{kl}
C_H	H	Z^jX^i	Z^lX^k
B		$X^{j+1}Z^{i+j}$	$X^{l+1}Z^{k+l}$
Q		$(-\sqrt{-1})^jZ^{i+j}X^i$	$(\sqrt{-1})^lZ^{k+l}X^k$
R		$(\sqrt{-1})^jX^iZ^{i+j}$	$(-\sqrt{-1})^lX^kZ^{k+l}$

Table 4: Local unitary operators R_{ij} and S_{kl} with $i,j=0,1$ and $k,l=0,1$ in the teleportation equation (58) for the Bell transforms $B_{ell}=C_H,B,Q,R$ with the single-qubit gate U as the Hadamard gate H.

which is called the teleportation equation in [32]. With the Bell transform C_H (7), the teleportation equation (50) has the form given by

$$(\mathbb{1}_2\otimes C_H)|\phi\rangle|00\rangle=(C_H\otimes\mathbb{1}_2)\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=0}^{1}|ij\rangle X^jZ^i|\phi\rangle, \tag{51}$$

and it has the other more meaningful formulation

$$(C_H^{-1}\otimes\mathbb{1}_2)(\mathbb{1}_2\otimes C_H)|\phi\rangle|00\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=0}^{1}|ij\rangle X^jZ^i|\phi\rangle \tag{52}$$

in which $\mathbb{1}_2\otimes C_H$ is the operation of creating the Bell state $|\psi(0,0)\rangle$ and $C_H^{-1}\otimes\mathbb{1}_2$ is associated with the Bell measurement. When Alice informs Bob the classical two-bit (i,j), Bob performs the local unitary correction operator Z^iX^j on his qubit to obtain the transmitted state $|\phi\rangle$.

4.2 Teleportation operator

Through the teleportation equation (52), we realize that the operator $(C_H^{-1}\otimes\mathbb{1}_2)(\mathbb{1}_2\otimes C_H)$ plays the key role in the mathematical formulation of quantum teleportation, so we propose the concept of the teleportation operator given by

$$(B_{ell}^{-1}\otimes\mathbb{1}_2)(\mathbb{1}_2\otimes B_{ell}) \tag{53}$$

or given by

$$(\mathbb{1}_2\otimes B_{ell}^{-1})(B_{ell}\otimes\mathbb{1}_2) \tag{54}$$

B_{ell}	U	R_{ij}	S_{kl}
C_H	T	$W^j Z^i$	$W^l Z^k$
B		$Z^{j+1} W^{i+j}$	$Z^{l+1} W^{k+l}$
Q		$(-\sqrt{-1})^j W^{i+j} Z^i$	$(\sqrt{-1})^l W^{k+l} Z^k$
R		$(\sqrt{-1})^j Z^i W^{i+j}$	$(-\sqrt{-1})^l Z^k W^{k+l}$

Table 5: Local unitary operators R_{ij} and S_{kl} with $i, j = 0, 1$ and $k, l = 0, 1$ in the teleportation equation (58) for the Bell transforms $B_{ell} = C_H, B, Q, R$ with the single-qubit gate U as the $\pi/8$ gate T. The single-qubit gate $W = (X - iY)/\sqrt{2}$ is a Clifford gate.

in terms of the four dimensional Bell transform B_{ell} (14), its inverse B_{ell}^{-1} and the identity operator $\mathbb{1}_2$. For examples, the B_{ell} transform in this paper can be the C_H gate (7), the Yang–Baxter gate B (27), the matchgate Q (32) and the parity-preserving gate R (36).

With the teleportation operator (53), the teleportation equation (52) has a generalized form

$$(B_{ell}^{-1} \otimes \mathbb{1}_2)(\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes B_{ell})|\phi\rangle|kl\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} |ij\rangle V_{kl} U_{ij} |\phi\rangle \tag{55}$$

where $k, l = 0, 1$ and V_{kl} and U_{ij} are local unitary operators as products of Pauli matrices acting on the transmitted state $|\phi\rangle$. The proof for the teleportation equations (55) and (56) is given in Appendix D. The quantum circuit diagram in Figure 1 denotes the quantum circuit of quantum teleportation, as a diagrammatical representation of the teleportation equation (55) in terms of the teleportation operator (53), in which Bob performs the local unitary operation $U_{ij}^{-1} V_{kl}^{-1}$ on his qubit to obtain the quantum state $|\phi\rangle$. See Table 3 for local unitary operators U_{ij} and V_{kl} for the Bell transforms $B_{ell} = C_H, B, Q, R$.

Besides the form (55), the teleportation equation has the other form

$$(\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes B_{ell}^{-1})(B_{ell} \otimes \mathbb{1}_2)|kl\rangle|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} V_{kl}^T U_{ij}^T |\phi\rangle|ij\rangle \tag{56}$$

with the teleportation operator (54) and with the symbol T denoting the matrix transpose, which is to be exploited in the fault-tolerant construction of two-qubit gates in teleportation-based quantum computation, see Figure 3 in Subsection 4.3.

4.3 Teleportation-based quantum computation

Teleportation-based quantum computation has been well studied in both algebraic and topological approach in [12, 13, 14, 15]. Here we focus on the fault-tolerant construction of single-qubit gates and two-qubit entangling gates using the teleportation equations (55) or (56). Note that any entangling two-qubit gate with single-qubit gates [39, 40, 41] can perform universal quantum computation [1, 2]. The $CNOT$ gate, the CZ gate, the Bell transforms C_H, B, Q, R and their inverses C_H^{-1}, B^{-1}, Q^{-1}, R^{-1}, all of them are good candidates for an entangling two-qubit gate with the maximal entangling power 1 [29, 37]. Single-qubit gates can be generated by the Hadamard gate H and the T gate (16).

In fault-tolerant quantum computation [1, 2, 19], the fault-tolerant construction of Clifford gates including the Pauli gates can be performed in a systematical approach, and the fault-tolerant construction of non-Clifford gates such as the T gate (16) becomes a problem of how to introduce a set of Clifford gates to play the role of these non-Clifford gates [12]. The fault-tolerant construction of single-qubit gates and two-qubit gates using quantum teleportation [12] has a rather



Figure 3: Fault-tolerant construction of the two-qubit gate CU in teleportation-based quantum computation as a diagrammatical representation of the teleportation equation (62).

B_{ell}	CU	Q	P
C_H/Q	$CNOT$	$E_Q X^a Z^b Z^c$	$E_P X^a Z^c X^d$
	CZ	$E_Q X^a Z^b Z^d$	$E_P Z^a Z^c X^d$
	C_H	$E_Q Z^a X^b Z^c$	$E_P X^b Z^c X^d$
	C_H^{-1}	$E_Q Z^a X^b X^c$	$E_P X^a Z^c X^d$
	B	$(-1)^b E_Q X^a Y^b X^c X^d$	$(-1)^c E_P Y^b X^c Z^d$
	B^{-1}	$E_Q X^a Y^b X^c X^d$	$(-1)^d E_P Y^b X^c Z^d$
	Q	$E_Q Z^a X^b Y^c Y^d$	$(-\sqrt{-1})^d E_P X^a X^b Y^c Z^d$
	Q^{-1}	$(\sqrt{-1})^a (\sqrt{-1})^b E_Q Z^a X^b Y^c$	$(\sqrt{-1})^c (\sqrt{-1})^d E_P Y^a Y^b X^c Y^d$
	R	$E_Q X^a X^b Y^c Z^d$	$(\sqrt{-1})^d E_P Z^a X^b Y^c Y^d$
	R^{-1}	$(-\sqrt{-1})^a (-\sqrt{-1})^b E_Q Y^b X^c Z^d$	$(-\sqrt{-1})^c (-\sqrt{-1})^d E_P Y^a X^b Y^c Y^d$

Table 6: Local unitary operators Q and P in the teleportation equation (62), with the teleportation operator (53) in terms of the Bell transforms C_H and Q, for two-qubit gates CU including the $CNOT$ gate, CZ gate, the Bell transforms $B_{ell} = C_H, B, Q, R$ and their inverses. The local unitary operators Q and P have the form as products of the Pauli matrices X, Z and Y, with respective indices a, b, c, d in Table 8 and respective phase factors E_Q and E_P in Table 9.

B_{ell}	CU	Q	P
B/R	$CNOT$	$E_Q Z^a X^b Z^d$	$E_P X^b X^c Z^d$
	CZ	$E_Q Z^a X^b Z^c$	$E_P Z^b X^c Z^d$
	C_H	$E_Q X^a Z^b Z^d$	$E_P X^a X^c Z^d$
	C_H^{-1}	$E_Q X^a Z^b X^d$	$E_P X^b X^c Z^d$
	B	$(-1)^a E_Q Y^a X^b X^c X^d$	$(-1)^d E_P Y^a Z^c X^d$
	B^{-1}	$E_Q Y^a X^b X^c X^d$	$(-1)^c E_P Y^a Z^c X^d$
	Q	$E_Q X^a Z^b Y^c Y^d$	$(-\sqrt{-1})^c E_P X^a X^b Z^c Y^d$
	Q^{-1}	$(\sqrt{-1})^a (\sqrt{-1})^b E_Q X^a Z^b Y^d$	$(\sqrt{-1})^c (\sqrt{-1})^d E_P Y^a Y^b Y^c X^d$
	R	$E_Q X^a X^b Z^c Y^d$	$(\sqrt{-1})^c E_P X^a Z^b Y^c Y^d$
	R^{-1}	$(-\sqrt{-1})^a (-\sqrt{-1})^b E_Q Y^a Z^c X^d$	$(-\sqrt{-1})^c (-\sqrt{-1})^d E_P X^a Y^b Y^c Y^d$

Table 7: Local unitary operators Q and P in the teleportation equation (62), with the teleportation operator (53) in terms of the Bell transforms B and R, for two-qubit gates CU including the $CNOT$ gate, CZ gate, the Bell transforms $B_{ell} = C_H, B, Q, R$ and their inverses. Indices a, b, c, d are shown in Table 8. Phase factors E_Q and E_P are in Table 9.

	a	b	c	d
C_H	$j_1 + l_1$	$i_1 + k_1$	$i_2 + k_2$	$j_2 + l_2$
B	$j_1 + l_1$	$i_1 + j_1 + k_1 + l_1$	$i_2 + j_2 + k_2 + l_2$	$j_2 + l_2$
Q	$i_1 + j_1 + k_1 + l_1$	$i_1 + k_1$	$i_2 + k_2$	$i_2 + j_2 + k_2 + l_2$
R	$i_1 + k_1$	$i_1 + j_1 + k_1 + l_1$	$i_2 + j_2 + k_2 + l_2$	$i_2 + k_2$

Table 8: The indices a, b, c, d for the Bell transforms $B_{ell} = C_H, Q$ in Table 6 and the Bell transforms $B_{ell} = B, R$ in Table 7. All the index addition $+$ is the binary addition.

	E_Q	E_P
C_H	$(-1)^{j_1 \cdot k_1}$	$(-1)^{i_2 \cdot l_2}$
B	$(-1)^{(k_1+l_1)\cdot(j_1+1)}$	$(-1)^{(i_2+j_2)\cdot(l_2+1)}$
Q	$(-1)^{(i_1+j_1)\cdot k_1}(-\sqrt{-1})^{j_1}(\sqrt{-1})^{l_1}$	$(-1)^{(k_2+l_2)\cdot i_2}(-\sqrt{-1})^{j_2}(\sqrt{-1})^{l_2}$
R	$(-1)^{(k_1+l_1)\cdot i_1}(-\sqrt{-1})^{l_1}(\sqrt{-1})^{j_1}$	$(-1)^{(i_2+j_2)\cdot k_2}(-\sqrt{-1})^{l_2}(\sqrt{-1})^{j_2}$

Table 9: The phase factors E_Q and E_P for the Bell transforms $B_{ell} = C_H, Q$ in Table 6 and the Bell transforms $B_{ell} = B, R$ in Table 7. All the index addition $+$ is the binary addition and all the index multiplication $\cdot$ is the logical AND operation.

simpler topological diagrammatical interpretation [15] which presents the guideline on our fault-tolerant construction of single-qubit and two-qubit gates using the teleportation operator (53).

To perform a single-qubit gate U on the unknown quantum state $|\phi\rangle$, Alice prepares the quantum state given by

$$|\phi\rangle \otimes |\psi_U(k,l)\rangle, \tag{57}$$

with $|\psi_U(k,l)\rangle = (\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes U)B_{ell}|kl\rangle$, and then applies the Bell measurement denoted by $B_{ell}^{-1} \otimes \mathbb{1}_2$ to the prepared quantum state. These two successive operations lead to the teleportation equation given by

$$(B_{ell}^{-1} \otimes \mathbb{1}_2)(\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes U)(\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes B_{ell})|\phi\rangle|kl\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=0}^{1} |ij\rangle S_{kl} R_{ij} U|\phi\rangle \tag{58}$$

with $S_{kl}R_{ij} = U(V_{kl}U_{ij})U^\dagger$. When Bob gets the classical two-bit (i,j) information from Alice, he performs the local unitary correction operator $R_{ij}^{-1}S_{kl}^{-1}$ on his qubit to obtain the quantum state $U|\phi\rangle$. See Figure 2 for the quantum circuit associated with the teleportation equation (58).

Note that $V_{kl}U_{ij}$ (see Table 3) is a Pauli operator. As the single-qubit gate U is the Hadamard gate H, the $S_{kl}R_{ij}$ (see Table 4) is still a Pauli operator. As U is the T gate, the $S_{kl}R_{ij}$ (see Table 5) is a Clifford gate. Hence, the fault-tolerant procedure of performing the T gate consists of two-steps [12]: The first step is to fault tolerantly prepare the state $|\psi_U(k,l)\rangle$ with $U = T$, and the second step is to fault-tolerantly perform the associated Clifford gate $R_{ij}^{-1}S_{kl}^{-1}$.

The fault-tolerant construction of a two-qubit gate CU depends on the fault-tolerant construction of the quantum state given by

$$|\psi_{CU}\rangle = (\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes CU \otimes \mathbb{1}_2)(B_{ell} \otimes B_{ell})|k_1 l_1\rangle \otimes |k_2 l_2\rangle \tag{59}$$

which is a four-qubit state. This state together with an unknown two-qubit product state $|\alpha\beta\rangle$ has the form given by

$$|\alpha\rangle \otimes |\psi_{CU}\rangle \otimes |\beta\rangle \tag{60}$$

which is the prepared quantum state for use. Applying the joint Bell measurement given by

$$B_{ell}^{-1} \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes B_{ell}^{-1} \tag{61}$$

to the prepared quantum state (60) gives rise to the teleportation equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(B_{ell}^{-1} \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes B_{ell}^{-1})|\alpha\rangle \otimes |\psi_{CU}\rangle \otimes |\beta\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i_1,j_1=0}^{1} \sum_{i_2,j_2=0}^{1} (\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes Q \otimes P \otimes \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2)|i_1 j_1\rangle \otimes CU|\alpha\beta\rangle \otimes |i_2 j_2\rangle \qquad (62)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $Q \otimes P$ defined by

$$
Q \otimes P = CU(V_{k_1 l_1} U_{i_1 j_1} \otimes V_{k_2 l_2}^T U_{i_2 j_2}^T) CU^\dagger \qquad (63)
$$

where obviously both the teleportation equations (55) and (56) have been exploited, so that the unitary correction operator is given by $Q^\dagger \otimes P^\dagger$. See Figure 3 for the diagrammatical representation associated with the teleportation equation (62), and see Table 6, Table 7, Table 8 and Table 9 for local unitary operators Q and P (63).

4.4 Remarks on the application of the four dimensional Bell transform (14) to quantum teleportation and teleportation-based quantum computation

In this section, we draw Figures 1–3 and make Tables 3–9. We aim at presenting a detailed account on fault-tolerant construction of single-qubits and two-qubits in teleportation-based quantum computation [12, 13, 14, 15] using the C_H gate (7), the Yang–Baxter gate B (27), and the magic gates Q (32) and R (36). We also want to show that teleportation-based computation presents a kind of platform on which quantum Clifford gate computation [1, 19], quantum matchgate computation [25, 26, 27, 28, 29], integrable quantum computation [30], or other kinds of quantum computation approaches [1, 2] can be set up.

With the teleportation operator (53) or (54), both quantum teleportation [8, 9, 10, 11] and teleportation-based computation obtain a very concrete and well-organized algebraic formulation which is the so called the teleportation equation (55). On the one hand, we expect that the teleportation operator (53) or (54) is helpful for a further understanding on physics and mathematics underlying quantum teleportation and teleportation-based quantum computation. On the other hand, we expect that there may exist new types of quantum circuit models (or quantum algorithms) using the Bell transform beyond the circuit model of quantum teleportation using the Bell transform.

5 The higher dimensional Bell transform

We construct the higher dimensional Bell transform $B_{ell}^{(n)}$ (81) to include a unitary basis transformation from the n-qubit product basis to the n-qubit GHZ basis [16, 17, 18, 24, 34]. Representative examples for it are the higher dimensional generalizations of the C_H gate (7), the Yang–Baxter gates B (27) and B' (28), and the magic gates Q (32) and R (36), and they are respectively denoted by the $C_H^{(n)}$ gate (76), the Yang–Baxter gates $B^{(n)}$ (82) and $B'^{(n)}$ (83), and the magic gate $R^{(n)}$ (95). We verify these examples as multi-qubit Clifford gates [1, 19], and with them study the multi-copy of the Pauli X gate.

5.1 Definition of the GHZ basis

In the stabilizer formalism [1, 19], an n-qubit GHZ state $|G(j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n)\rangle$ is specified as an eigenvector of the phase-bit operator $X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n$, the first parity-bit operator $Z_1 Z_2$, the $i-1$-th

parity-bit operator $Z_{i-1}Z_i$, $3 \leq i \leq n$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} X_1X_2\cdots X_n|G(j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n)\rangle &= (-1)^{j_1}|G(j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n)\rangle, \quad (64)\\ Z_1Z_2|G(j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n)\rangle &= (-1)^{j_2}|G(j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n)\rangle, \quad (65)\\ Z_{i-1}Z_i|G(j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n)\rangle &= (-1)^{j_i+j_{i-1}}|G(j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n)\rangle, \quad (66) \end{aligned}$$

where j_1 stands for the phase bit, j_2 for the first parity bit, and $j_i + j_{i-1}$ with binary addition for $i-1$-th parity bit, $i = 3, 4, \cdots, n$.

In the n-qubit Hilbert space, there are 2^n GHZ states $|G(j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n)\rangle$ which form an orthonormal basis called the GHZ basis. An n-qubit GHZ state in the GHZ basis has the conventional form

$$|G_J(j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0j_2\cdots j_n\rangle + (-1)^{j_1}|1\overline{j}_2\cdots\overline{j}_n\rangle), \quad (67)$$

where $j_k = 0, 1$ and $j_k + \overline{j}_k = 1$ with binary addition, $1 \leq k \leq n$ and the subscript J given by

$$J(j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n) = 2^{n-1}\cdot j_1 + 2^{n-2}\cdot j_2 + \cdots + 2\cdot j_{n-1} + j_n + 1 \quad (68)$$

with decimal addition labels the 2^n GHZ states in a concise way, $1 \leq J \leq 2^n$.

For example, the GHZ basis in the three-qubit Hilbert space has the form

$$\begin{aligned} |G_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle); \quad |G_5\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle - |111\rangle);\\ |G_2\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|001\rangle + |110\rangle); \quad |G_6\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|001\rangle - |110\rangle);\\ |G_3\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|010\rangle + |101\rangle); \quad |G_7\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|010\rangle - |101\rangle);\\ |G_4\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|011\rangle + |100\rangle); \quad |G_8\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|011\rangle - |100\rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

5.2 The other notation of the GHZ basis

Besides the notation $|G_J\rangle$ (67) for an n-qubit GHZ state, there is the other notation $|\Phi_K\rangle$ in the literature [24, 34], given by

$$|\Phi_K(j_1, j_1+j_2, \cdots, j_1+j_n)\rangle \equiv |G_J(j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n)\rangle, \quad (70)$$

where $j_1 + j_i$ is binary addition, $i = 2, 3, \cdots, n$, and the subscript K is defined by

$$K(j_1, j_1+j_2, \cdots, j_1+j_n) = 2^{n-1}\cdot j_1 + 2^{n-2}\cdot(j_1+j_2) + \cdots + (j_1+j_n) + 1 \quad (71)$$

with decimal addition. The relation between two subscripts J (68) and K (71) is

$$K = \begin{cases} J, & j_1 = 0, \quad 1 \leqslant J \leqslant 2^{n-1};\\ 2^n + 2^{n-1} + 1 - J, & j_1 = 1, \quad 2^{n-1}+1 \leqslant J \leqslant 2^n. \end{cases} \quad (72)$$

To show the difference between two kinds of notations $|G_J\rangle$ (67) and $|\Phi_K\rangle$ (70) for the GHZ basis, we present the GHZ basis in the two-qubit Hilbert space,

$$(|G_1\rangle, |G_2\rangle, |G_3\rangle, |G_4\rangle) = (|\Phi_1\rangle, |\Phi_2\rangle, |\Phi_4\rangle, |\Phi_3\rangle), \quad (73)$$

and the GHZ basis in the three-qubit Hilbert space,

$$\begin{aligned} &(|G_1\rangle, |G_2\rangle, |G_3\rangle, |G_4\rangle, |G_5\rangle, |G_6\rangle, |G_7\rangle, |G_8\rangle)\\ =&(|\Phi_1\rangle, |\Phi_2\rangle, |\Phi_3\rangle, |\Phi_4\rangle, |\Phi_8\rangle, |\Phi_7\rangle, |\Phi_6\rangle, |\Phi_5\rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

Operation	Input	Output
$C_H^{(n)}$	X_1	Z_1
	X_2	X_2
	X_3	X_3
	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
	X_n	X_n
	Z_1	$X_1X_2\cdots X_n$
	Z_2	Z_1Z_2
	Z_3	Z_1Z_3
	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
	Z_n	Z_1Z_n

Table 10: Transformation properties of elements of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_n$ under conjugation by the $C_H^{(n)}$ gate (76).

5.3 The higher dimensional Bell transform $C_H^{(n)}$

A higher dimensional generalization of the C_H gate (7), denoted as $C_H^{(n)}$, represents the unitary basis transformation matrix from the n-qubit product states $|j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n\rangle$ to the n-qubit GHZ states $|G(j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n)\rangle$ (67), expressed as

$$|G(j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n)\rangle = C_H^{(n)}|j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n\rangle, \tag{75}$$

which informs that the $C_H^{(1)}$ gate is simply a Hadamard gate H and the $C_H^{(2)}$ gate is the four dimensional Bell transform C_H (7). The $C_H^{(n)}$ gate can be decomposed as a tensor product of the Hadamard gate H and the $CNOT$ gates, given by

$$C_H^{(n)} = CNOT_{1,n}CNOT_{1,n-1}\cdots CNOT_{1,2}H_1, \tag{76}$$

which is a Clifford gate obviously. With the notations (67) and (70) of the GHZ basis, the $C_H^{(n)}$ gate can have following forms given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_H^{(n)} &= (|G_1\rangle, |G_2\rangle, \cdots, |G_{2^n}\rangle) \\ &= (|\Phi_1\rangle, |\Phi_2\rangle, \cdots, |\Phi_{2^n}\rangle, |\Phi_{2^n-1}\rangle, \cdots, |\Phi_{2^{n-1}+1}\rangle). \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

The transformation properties of elements of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_n$ under conjugation by the $C_H^{(n)}$ gate is shown up in Table 10.

5.4 Matrix formulation of the higher dimensional Bell transform

Similarly to introduce the four dimensional Bell transform (14), we define the higher dimensional Bell transform as

$$B_{ell}^{(n)} = \sum_{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n=0}^{1} e^{i\alpha_{k_1k_2\cdots k_n}} |G(k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n)\rangle\langle j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n|, \tag{78}$$

which informs that there is a one-to-one function between the product states $|j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n\rangle$ and GHZ states $|G(k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n)\rangle$ modulo global phases $e^{i\alpha_{k_1k_2\cdots k_n}}$.

In terms of the $C_H^{(n)}$ gate (76), the n-qubit permutation gate $P^{(n)}$ and phase gate $E^{(n)}$ given by

$$P^{(n)} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n=0}^{1} |k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n\rangle\langle j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n|, \tag{79}$$

$$E^{(n)} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n=0}^{1} e^{i\alpha_{k_1k_2\cdots k_n}}|j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n\rangle\langle j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n|, \tag{80}$$

the matrix formulation of the higher dimensional Bell transform $B_{ell}^{(n)}$ can be reformulated as

$$B_{ell}^{(n)} = C_H^{(n)} P^{(n)} E^{(n)} \tag{81}$$

which is very helpful in the study of the Bell transform's algebraic properties.

The n-qubit ($n \geq 3$) permutation gate (79) is not always a Clifford gate. For examples, both the Toffoli gate and the Fredkin gate [1] can be regarded as three-qubit permutation gates, but they are not Clifford gates, see A.2 for a relevant discussion. The n-qubit phase gate $E^{(n)}$ (80) is not a Clifford gate, when the phase factors $e^{i\alpha_{k_1k_2\cdots k_n}}$ are not ± 1 or $\pm i$. Therefore, the higher dimensional Bell transform $B_{ell}^{(n)}$ (81) is not a Clifford gate in general.

In quantum information and computation [1, 2], the GHZ states [16, 17] are regarded as maximally entangling multi-qubit states in various entanglement theories [35, 36]. So it is reasonable to assume the higher dimensional Bell transform (81) as a maximally entangling multi-qubit gate.

5.5 The higher dimensional Yang–Baxter gates $B^{(n)}$ and $B'^{(n)}$

The higher dimensional Yang–Baxter gates satisfying the generalized Yang–Baxter equation [34] have two simplest examples: the one is denoted as $B^{(n)}$ given by

$$B^{(n)} = e^{\frac{\pi}{4}M_n}, \quad M_n = X^{\otimes n-1} \otimes Y, \tag{82}$$

and the other is denoted as $B'^{(n)}$ given by

$$B'^{(n)} = e^{\frac{\pi}{4}M'_n}, \quad M'_n = Y \otimes X^{\otimes(n-1)}. \tag{83}$$

Explicitly, the Yang–Baxter gates $B^{(n)}$ and $B'^{(n)}$ are respectively the higher dimensional generalizations of the four dimensional Bell transforms B (27) and B' (28).

With the matrix formulation of the higher dimensional Bell transform (81), the n-qubit Yang–Baxter gate $B^{(n)}$ gate is expressed as

$$B^{(n)} = C_H^{(n)} P_B^{(n)} E_B^{(n)}, \tag{84}$$

with the permutation gate $P_B^{(n)}$ and the phase gate $E_B^{(n)}$ given by

$$P_B^{(n)} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n=0}^{1} |j_n+1, j_1+j_2,\cdots,j_1+j_n\rangle\langle j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n|, \tag{85}$$

$$E_B^{(n)} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n=0}^{1} (-1)^{j_1\cdot(j_n+1)}|j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n\rangle\langle j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n|. \tag{86}$$

Operation	Input	Output
$B^{(n)}$	X_1	X_1
	X_2	X_2
	X_3	X_3
	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
	X_{n-1}	X_{n-1}
	X_n	$X_1X_2\cdots X_{n-1}Z_n$
	Z_1	$-Y_1X_2X_3\cdots X_{n-1}Y_n$
	Z_2	$-X_1Y_2X_3\cdots X_{n-1}Y_n$
	Z_3	$-X_1X_2Y_3\cdots X_{n-1}Y_n$
	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
	Z_{n-1}	$-X_1X_2X_3\cdots Y_{n-1}Y_n$
	Z_n	$-X_1X_2X_3\cdots X_{n-1}X_n$

Table 11: Transformation properties of elements of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_n$ under conjugation by the $B^{(n)}$ gate (82).

For examples, the two-qubit Yang–Baxter gate $B^{(2)} = B$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} B^{(2)} &= (|\Phi_4\rangle, |\Phi_2\rangle, -|\Phi_3\rangle, |\Phi_1\rangle) \\ &= (|G_3\rangle, |G_2\rangle, -|G_4\rangle, |G_1\rangle), \end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

and the three-qubit Yang–Baxter gate $B^{(3)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} B^{(3)} &= (|\Phi_8\rangle, |\Phi_2\rangle, |\Phi_6\rangle, |\Phi_4\rangle, -|\Phi_5\rangle, |\Phi_3\rangle, -|\Phi_7\rangle, |\Phi_1\rangle) \\ &= (|G_5\rangle, |G_2\rangle, |G_7\rangle, |G_4\rangle, -|G_8\rangle, |G_3\rangle, -|G_6\rangle, |G_1\rangle). \end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

The higher dimensional Yang–Baxter gate $B^{(n)}$ can be verified as an n-qubit Clifford gate, and the transformation properties of elements of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_n$ under conjugation by $B^{(n)}$ is shown up in Table 11.

The matrix form of the higher dimensional Yang–Baxter gate $B'^{(n)}$ (83) is expressed as

$$B'^{(n)} = C_H^{(n)} P_{B'}^{(n)} E_{B'}^{(n)}, \tag{89}$$

with the permutation gate $P_{B'}^{(n)}$ and the phase gate $E_{B'}^{(n)}$ given by

$$P_{B'}^{(n)} = \sum_{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n = 0}^{1} |j_1 + 1, j_1 + j_2, \cdots, j_1 + j_n\rangle\langle j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n|, \tag{90}$$

$$E_{B'}^{(n)} = \mathbb{1}_{2^n \times 2^n}. \tag{91}$$

For examples, the two-qubit Yang–Baxter gate $B'^{(2)} = B'$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} B'^{(2)} &= (|\Phi_4\rangle, |\Phi_3\rangle, |\Phi_2\rangle, |\Phi_1\rangle) \\ &= (|G_3\rangle, |G_4\rangle, |G_2\rangle, |G_1\rangle), \end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

and the three-qubit Yang–Baxter gate $B'^{(3)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} B'^{(3)} &= (|\Phi_8\rangle, |\Phi_7\rangle, |\Phi_6\rangle, |\Phi_5\rangle, |\Phi_4\rangle, |\Phi_3\rangle, |\Phi_2\rangle, |\Phi_1\rangle) \\ &= (|G_5\rangle, |G_6\rangle, |G_7\rangle, |G_8\rangle, |G_4\rangle, |G_3\rangle, |G_2\rangle, |G_1\rangle). \end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

The higher dimensional Yang–Baxter gate $B'^{(n)}$ can be verified as an n-qubit Clifford gate, and the transformation properties of elements of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_n$ under conjugation by $B'^{(n)}$ is shown up in Table 12.

Operation	Input	Output
$B'^{(n)}$	X_1	$Z_1X_2X_3\cdots X_{n-1}X_n$
	X_2	X_2
	X_3	X_3
	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
	X_{n-1}	X_{n-1}
	X_n	X_n
	Z_1	$-X_1X_2X_3\cdots X_{n-1}X_n$
	Z_2	$-Y_1Y_2X_3\cdots X_{n-1}X_n$
	Z_3	$-Y_1X_2Y_3\cdots X_{n-1}X_n$
	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
	Z_{n-1}	$-Y_1X_2X_3\cdots Y_{n-1}X_n$
	Z_n	$-Y_1X_2X_3\cdots X_{n-1}Y_n$

Table 12: Transformation properties of elements of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_n$ under conjugation by the $B'^{(n)}$ gate (83).

5.6 The higher dimensional magic gates $R^{(n)}$ and $R'^{(n)}$

The higher dimensional generalization of the magic gates Q (32) and R (36) has been studied in [24], and it is another representative example of the higher dimensional Bell transform,

$$R^{(n)} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n=0}^{1} e^{i\alpha_K}|\Phi_K(j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n)\rangle\langle j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n|, \tag{94}$$

where $|\Phi_K\rangle$ is defined in (70). It has the matrix formulation,

$$R^{(n)} = C_H^{(n)}P_R^{(n)}E_R^{(n)}, \tag{95}$$

with the permutation gate $P_R^{(n)}$ and the phase gate $E_R^{(n)}$ given by

$$P_R^{(n)} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n=0}^{1} |j_1, j_1+j_2,\cdots,j_1+j_n\rangle\langle j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n|, \tag{96}$$

$$E_R^{(n)} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n=0}^{1} e^{i\alpha_K}|j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n\rangle\langle j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_n|. \tag{97}$$

Obviously, the higher dimensional magic gate $R^{(n)}$ is not a Clifford gate, since the entries of the phase gate $E_R^{(n)}$ may be not ± 1 or $\pm i$. However, as the phase gate $E_R^{(n)}$ is an identity matrix, the $R'^{(n)}$ gate defined as $R'^{(n)} = C_H^{(n)}P_R^{(n)}$ is an n-qubit Clifford gate due to $R'^{(n)} = Z_1B'^{(n)}$, and the transformation properties of elements of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_n$ under conjugation by $R'^{(n)}$ is shown up in Table 13.

Note that the transformation properties of the elements Z_i of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_n$ under conjugation by $R^{(n)}$ are the same as those under conjugation by $R'^{(n)}$, namely,

$$R^{(n)}Z_i(R^{(n)})^\dagger = R'^{(n)}Z_i(R'^{(n)})^\dagger \tag{98}$$

with $i = 1,\cdots,n$, because the Z_i gates are commutative with the phase gate $E_R^{(n)}$ (97).

Operation	Input	Output
$R'^{(n)}$	X_1	$Z_1X_2X_3\cdots X_{n-1}X_n$
	X_2	X_2
	X_3	X_3
	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
	X_{n-1}	X_{n-1}
	X_n	X_n
	Z_1	$X_1X_2X_3\cdots X_{n-1}X_n$
	Z_2	$Y_1Y_2X_3\cdots X_{n-1}X_n$
	Z_3	$Y_1X_2Y_3\cdots X_{n-1}X_n$
	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
	Z_{n-1}	$Y_1X_2X_3\cdots Y_{n-1}X_n$
	Z_n	$Y_1X_2X_3\cdots X_{n-1}Y_n$

Table 13: Transformation properties of elements of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_n$ under conjugation by $R'^{(n)} = C_H^{(n)} P_R^{(n)}$ (95).

5.7 The multi-copy of the Pauli X gate using the higher dimensional Bell transform

In view of Table 10, the multi-copy of the Pauli X gate [24] can be specified as a result of the conjugation by the $C_H^{(n)}$ gate (76),

$$(C_H^{(n)} H_1) X_1 (C_H^{(n)} H_1)^\dagger = X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n, \tag{99}$$

where $C_H^{(n)} H_1$ is a tensor product of $CNOT$ gates and $H_1 Z_1 H_1 = X_1$ is exploited.

As the higher dimensional permutation gate $P^{(n)}$ (79) has the form

$$P^{(n)} = \sum_{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n = 0}^{1} |j_i + l, k_2, \cdots, k_n\rangle\langle j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n|, \tag{100}$$

with $l = 0, 1$, the higher dimensional Bell transform $B_{ell}^{(n)}$ (81) has the property given by

$$B_{ell}^{(n)} Z_i B_{ell}^{(n)\dagger} = (-1)^l X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n. \tag{101}$$

Note that to obtain the copy of the Pauli X gate, we have to introduce $B_{ell}^{(n)} H_i$ instead of the higher dimensional Bell transform (81) itself. For examples, when the Bell transform $B_{ell}^{(n)}$ is the Yang–Baxter gate $B^{(n)}$ (82), we have

$$B^{(n)} Z_n B^{(n)\dagger} = -X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n, \tag{102}$$

which is presented in Table 11, when the Bell transform $B_{ell}^{(n)}$ is the Yang–Baxter gate $B'^{(n)}$ (83), we have

$$B'^{(n)} Z_1 B'^{(n)\dagger} = -X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n, \tag{103}$$

which is in Table 12, and when the Bell transform $B_{ell}^{(n)}$ is the magic gate $R^{(n)}$ (95),

$$R^{(n)} Z_1 R^{(n)\dagger} = X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n, \tag{104}$$

which is in Table 13. Besides these examples, when the permutation gates (79) are the Fredkin gate or the Toffoli gate or their higher dimensional generalizations, see A.2, the multi-copy of

the Pauli X gate can be also done with the higher dimensional Bell transform (81) but which may be not a multi-qubit Clifford gate.

We expect that the above multi-copy operation of the Pauli X gate under the conjugation by the higher dimensional Bell transform may play the roles in quantum information and computation, as the authors of the reference [24] had stated before.

5.8 Remarks on the application of the higher dimensional Bell transform (81) to quantum information and computation

We make remarks on the application of the higher dimensional Bell transform (81) to quantum information and computation [1, 2]. First, the higher dimensional Bell transform (81) is a helpful concept to include the $C_H^{(n)}$ gate (76), the Yang–Baxter gates $B^{(n)}$ (82) and $B'^{(n)}$ (83), and the magic gate $R^{(n)}$ (95), all of which are known in the literature. Second, these Bell transforms $C_H^{(n)}$, $B^{(n)}$, $B'^{(n)}$ and $R^{(n)}$ are verified as multi-qubit Clifford gates. Third, the multi-copy of the Pauli X gate can be unexpectedly obtained as a result of the conjugation by specified Bell transforms. Besides these, there remains a question about the multi-qubit generalization of the teleportation operators (53) or (54) in terms of the higher dimensional Bell transform (81), which is to be discussed in our future research.

6 Concluding remarks

In this paper, the Bell transform has either the four dimensional formalism (the Bell state transform) (14) or the higher dimensional formalism (the GHZ state transform) (81). In view of the facts that the Bell states [18] and GHZ states [16, 17] are widely used in quantum information and computation [1, 2], we expect that the Bell transform is capable of playing the important roles in topics other than quantum teleportation [8, 9, 10, 11] and teleportation-based quantum computation [12, 13, 14, 15]. About the Bell transform, one can study its generalized form as a function of parameters, for example, the Yang–Baxter gate $B(x)$ [22, 30, 34, 42] depending on the spectral parameter x. About quantum teleportation, one can apply the Bell transform and the teleportation operator (53) to multi-qubit teleportation [43, 44, 45] or quantum teleportation via non-maximally entangling resources [46, 47, 48]. About universal quantum computation, one can study topological and algebraic aspects in the one-way quantum computation [49, 50] in terms of the Bell transform, which together with teleportation-based quantum computation present representative examples for measurement-based quantum computation. About quantum algorithms (or quantum circuit models), one can try to explore new types of quantum algorithms using the Bell transform, with the help of quantum algorithms [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] based on the quantum Fourier transform [1, 51].

Acknowledgements

Yong Zhang is supported by the starting grant–273732 of Wuhan University.

A Permutation gate (79) may be not a Clifford gate

The permutation group S_{2^n} is the set of all permutations of 2^n elements [1], and it is generated by transpositions $(J, J+1)$ with $1 \leq J \leq 2^n - 1$. The n-qubit product basis $|j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n\rangle$ can

Operation	Input	Output
Toffoli gate	X_1	$X_1 CNOT_{23}$
	X_2	$X_2 CNOT_{13}$
	X_3	X_3
	Z_1	Z_1
	Z_2	Z_2
	Z_3	$Z_3 CZ_{12}$
Fredkin gate	X_1	$X_1 SWAP_{23}$
	X_2	$X_2 CNOT_{13} CNOT_{12}$
	X_3	$X_3 CNOT_{13} CNOT_{12}$
	Z_1	Z_1
	Z_2	$Z_2 CZ_{13} CZ_{12}$
	Z_3	$Z_3 CZ_{13} CZ_{12}$

Table 14: Transformation properties of elements of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_3$ under conjugation by the Toffoli gate and Fredkin gate.

be relabeled as $|J\rangle$ given by

$$J = 2^{n-1} \cdot j_1 + 2^{n-2} \cdot j_2 + \cdots + j_n + 1 \tag{105}$$

with decimal addition, so the permutation gate (79) forms a unitary representation of the permutation group S_{2^n}, which gives rise to a unitary representation $T^{(n)}_{J,J+1}$ associated with the transposition $(J, J+1)$ given by $T^{(n)}_{J,J+1}|J\rangle = |J+1\rangle$. As usual, we study algebraic properties of the transposition gates $T^{(n)}_{J,J+1}$ to understand algebraic properties of the permutation gate (79).

A.1 Two-qubit permutation gate (13) is a Clifford gate

The two-qubit permutation gate (13) forms a representation of the permutation group S_4 [1] of four elements, and the associated transposition gates are respectively denoted by $T^{(2)}_{12}$, $T^{(2)}_{23}$ and $T^{(2)}_{34}$. The transposition gate $T^{(2)}_{12}$ defined by $T^{(2)}_{12}|1\rangle = |2\rangle$ has the form

$$T^{(2)}_{12}|ij\rangle = |i, i+j+1\rangle, \tag{106}$$

so that the $T^{(2)}_{12}$ gate has the form

$$T^{(2)}_{12} = X_2 CNOT_{12}, \tag{107}$$

with $X = HS^2H$. The transposition gate $T^{(2)}_{23}$ defined by $T^{(2)}_{23}|2\rangle = |3\rangle$ has the form of the $SWAP$ gate [1] as a product of three $CNOT$ gates

$$T^{(2)}_{23} = SWAP = CNOT_{12} CNOT_{21} CNOT_{12}. \tag{108}$$

The transposition gate $T^{(2)}_{34}$ given by $T^{(2)}_{34}|3\rangle = |4\rangle$ has the form $T^{(2)}_{34} = CNOT_{12}$. Since three transposition gates $T^{(2)}_{12}$, $T^{(2)}_{23}$ and $T^{(2)}_{34}$ are Clifford gates [1, 19], the permutation gate (13) generated by them is certainly a Clifford gate.

A.2 Multi-qubit permutation gate (79) may be not a Clifford gate

We verify that a three-qubit permutation gate (79) may be not a Clifford gate by explaining that two transposition gates $T^{(3)}_{67}$ and $T^{(3)}_{78}$ are not Clifford gates. The three-qubit transposition gate

Operation	Input	Output
C_H^{-1}	X_1	Z_1X_2
	X_2	X_2
	Z_1	X_1
	Z_2	X_1Z_2
B^{-1}	X_1	X_1
	X_2	$-X_1Z_2$
	Z_1	Y_1Y_2
	Z_2	X_1X_2
Q^{-1}	X_1	$\sqrt{-1}Z_1Y_2$
	X_2	$\sqrt{-1}Y_2$
	Z_1	$\sqrt{-1}X_1Y_2$
	Z_2	$\sqrt{-1}Y_1X_2$
R^{-1}	X_1	$-\sqrt{-1}Y_2$
	X_2	$-\sqrt{-1}Z_1Y_2$
	Z_1	$-\sqrt{-1}Y_1X_2$
	Z_2	$-\sqrt{-1}X_1Y_2$

Table 15: Transformation properties of elements of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_2$ under conjugation by the inverses of the Bell transforms $B_{ell}^{-1} = C_H^{-1}, B^{-1}, Q^{-1}, R^{-1}$.

$T_{67}^{(3)}$ is the Fredkin gate [1] given by

$$T_{67}^{(3)}|j_1j_2j_3\rangle = |j_1, j_1 \cdot (j_2 + j_3) + j_2, j_1 \cdot (j_2 + j_3) + j_3\rangle, \tag{109}$$

which denotes the permutation between the product states $|101\rangle$ and $|110\rangle$ with other product states unchanged. The other three-qubit transposition gate $T_{78}^{(3)}$ is the Toffoli gate [1] given by

$$T_{78}^{(3)}|j_1j_2j_3\rangle = |j_1, j_2, j_1 \cdot j_2 + j_3\rangle, \tag{110}$$

which denotes the permutation between the product states $|110\rangle$ and $|111\rangle$ with other product states fixed. It is well known that both the Fredkin gate and the Toffoli gate are not Clifford gates, see Table 14 for transformation properties of the elements of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_3$ under conjugation by the Toffoli gate and Fredkin gate.

In view of the construction of the controlled operation [1], the Fredkin gate and Toffoli gate can be respectively as the controlled $SWAP$ gate and the controlled $CNOT$ gate [1]. We can introduce the controlled controlled $SWAP$ gate denoted as a four-qubit transposition gate $T_{14,15}^{(4)}$ and the controlled controlled $CNOT$ gate denoted as a four-qubit transposition gate $T_{15,16}^{(4)}$, both of which are not Clifford gates, so that we state that a four-qubit permutation gate (79) may be not a Clifford gate. Similarly, with a series of controlled operations on the $SWAP$ gate and the $CNOT$ gate, we can respectively construct the n-qubit transposition gates $T_{2^n-2,2^n-1}^{(n)}$ and $T_{2^n-1,2^n}^{(n)}$ which are not Clifford gates, so that we can speculate that an n-qubit ($n \geq 3$) permutation gate (79) may be not a Clifford gate.

B The inverses of the Bell transforms C_H, B, Q and R are Clifford gates

In general, the inverse of the Bell transform (5) is not the Bell transform. According to our reformulation of the standard description of quantum teleportation in Section 4, the Bell transform (5) is explained as the creation operator of Bell states acting on product states and the inverse of

the Bell transform is associated with Bell measurements, so the inverse of the Bell transform can not be the Bell transform from the theoretical point of view.

The inverse of the Bell transform C_H (7) denoted by C_H^{-1} has the form

$$C_H^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{111}$$

which gives rise to $C_H^{-1}|00\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |01\rangle)$, so C_H^{-1} is not the Bell transform. The inverse of the magic gate Q (32) has the form

$$Q^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -i & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \tag{112}$$

which leads to a local unitary transformation of Bell states when acting on product states, for instance, $Q^{-1}|11\rangle = (\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes S)|\psi(1,1)\rangle$. Similarly, the inverse of the magic gate R (36) given by

$$R^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & i & i & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \tag{113}$$

has $R^{-1}|00\rangle = Q^{-1}|11\rangle$. So both Q^{-1} and R^{-1} are not the Bell transform, and Q^{-1} is a matchgate and R^{-1} is a parity-preserving non-matchgate. Occasionally, the inverse of the Yang–Baxter gate B (27) given by

$$B^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{114}$$

is still both the Bell transform and the Yang–Baxter gate [21, 22].

The Bell transforms C_H, B, Q and R are Clifford gates, see Subsection 3.4, so their inverses C_H^{-1}, B^{-1}, Q^{-1} and R^{-1} have to be Clifford gates in principle. On the other hand, in Table 15, transformation properties of generators of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_2$ under conjugation by C_H^{-1}, B^{-1}, Q^{-1} and R^{-1}, also prove C_H^{-1}, B^{-1}, Q^{-1} and R^{-1} as Clifford gates.

C Exponential formulations of the Bell transforms B, Q, R

We study how to prepare the four maximally entangling Clifford gates C_H (7), B (27), Q (32), and R (36) in practice. The C_H gate is easily performed as a tensor product of the $CNOT$ gate and Hadamard gate H which are the popular quantum gates realized in experiments [1], so we only study the exponential formulations of three parity-preserving gates B, Q and R [29] with associated two-qubit Hamiltonians.

With the Hamiltonian $H_B = iX \otimes Y$, the Yang–Baxter gate B (27) has the form

$$B = e^{-iH_B\ t}|_{t=\frac{\pi}{4}}, \tag{115}$$

where t denotes the evolutional time.

The magic gate Q (32) has the exponential form with the global phase $e^{i3\pi/4}$ given by

$$Q = e^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}Y\otimes X} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}(2Z\otimes \mathbb{1}_2 + \mathbb{1}_2\otimes Z)}, \tag{116}$$

which gives rise to a time-dependent Hamiltonian,

$$H_Q(t) \quad = \quad \theta(\frac{\pi}{4} - t)(2Z\otimes \mathbb{1}_2 + \mathbb{1}_2\otimes Z) + \theta(t - \frac{\pi}{4})(-iY\otimes X) \tag{117}$$

with the step functions $\theta(\frac{\pi}{4} - t)$ and $\theta(t - \frac{\pi}{4})$ and $0 \leq t \leq \pi/2$. Equivalently, the magic gate Q has the other exponential formulation

$$Q = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}(-2X\otimes X + Y\otimes Y)} e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}(Y\otimes X)} \tag{118}$$

with the associated Hamiltonian given by

$$H_Q(t) \quad = \quad \theta(\frac{\pi}{4} - t)(-iY\otimes X) + \theta(t - \frac{\pi}{4})(-2X\otimes X + Y\otimes Y) \tag{119}$$

with $t \in [0, \pi/2]$.

The magic gate R (36) has the exponential form given by

$$R = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}(-iY\otimes X - Z\otimes Z)} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}(Z\otimes \mathbb{1}_2)} \tag{120}$$

with a time-dependent Hamiltonian given by

$$H_R(t) \quad = \quad \theta(\frac{\pi}{4} - t)(Z\otimes \mathbb{1}_2) + \theta(t - \frac{\pi}{4})(-iY\otimes X - Z\otimes Z), \tag{121}$$

and equivalently has the other exponential formulation

$$R = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}(X\otimes X - Z\otimes Z)} e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}(Y\otimes X)} \tag{122}$$

with the associated Hamiltonian

$$H_R(t) \quad = \quad \theta(\frac{\pi}{4} - t)(-iY\otimes X) + \theta(t - \frac{\pi}{4})(X\otimes X - Z\otimes Z) \tag{123}$$

with $t \in [0, \pi/2]$.

Note that two-qubit matchgates [26] are generated by Hamiltonians as linear combinations of $Z\otimes \mathbb{1}_2$, $\mathbb{1}_2\otimes Z$, $X\otimes X$, $Y\otimes Y$, $X\otimes Y$ and $Y\otimes X$. In the above Hamiltonians, only the Hamiltonians of the magic gate R has an exceptional term $Z\otimes Z$, so the B gate and Q gate are matchgates and the R gate is a non-matchgate. Quantum computation with matchgates B or Q can be efficiently simulated on a classical computer, whereas quantum computation with the parity-preserving gate R can boost universal quantum computation mainly due to the computational power of the term $\exp^{i\pi/4(Z\otimes Z)}$, see [29, 52].

Similarly, we can derive the exponential formulations of the inverses of the Bell transforms B^{-1}, Q^{-1}, R^{-1} with associated Hamiltonians, for example, $B^{-1} = -e^{-iH_B t}|_{t=3\pi/4}$.

D Proof for the teleportation equations (55) and (56) and comment on the phase gate (13)

The teleportation equation (50) is expressed as

$$|\phi\rangle \otimes |\psi(0,0)\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=0}^{1} |\psi(i,j)\rangle \otimes W_{ij}|\phi\rangle \tag{124}$$

with $W_{ij} = X^j Z^i$, and with the help of (3), it has the following generalized form

$$|\phi\rangle \otimes |\psi(k,l)\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} |\psi(i,j)\rangle \otimes W_{kl} W_{ij} |\phi\rangle \tag{125}$$

with $W_{kl} = X^l Z^k$. With the Bell transform (5), we rewrite (125) into

$$(\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes B_{ell})|\phi\rangle \otimes e^{-i\alpha_{kl}} |k'l'\rangle = (B_{ell} \otimes \mathbb{1}) \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} e^{-i\alpha_{ij}} |i'j'\rangle \otimes W_{kl} W_{ij} |\phi\rangle, \tag{126}$$

and reformulate it as

$$(B_{ell}^{-1} \otimes \mathbb{1})(\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes B_{ell})|\phi\rangle \otimes |k'l'\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} |i'j'\rangle \otimes V_{kl} U_{ij} |\phi\rangle, \tag{127}$$

where $V_{kl} = e^{i\alpha_{kl}} W_{kl}$, $U_{ij} = e^{-i\alpha_{ij}} W_{ij}$, and the indices i', j', k' and l' are one-by-one functions f and g of i, j, k and l given by

$$i = f(i',j'), \quad j = g(i',j'), \quad k = f(k',l'), \quad l = g(k',l'). \tag{128}$$

For simplicity, we rewrite (127) as

$$(B_{ell}^{-1} \otimes \mathbb{1})(\mathbb{1}_2 \otimes B_{ell})|\phi\rangle \otimes |kl\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} |ij\rangle \otimes V_{k'l'} U_{i'j'} |\phi\rangle, \tag{129}$$

where we have to be very careful of the relations among lower indices given by

$$i' = f(i,j), \quad j' = g(i,j), \quad k' = f(k,l), \quad l' = g(k,l) \tag{130}$$

with functions f and g defined in (128). Furthermore, we make use of the notations V_{kl} and U_{ij} to respectively denote $V_{k'l'}$ and $U_{i'j'}$ to obtain the teleportation equation (55).

The proof for the teleportation equation (56) can be similarly done as the above proof for (55). We start from the modified teleportatin equation expressed as

$$|\psi(0,0)\rangle \otimes |\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} W_{ij}^T |\phi\rangle \otimes |\psi(i,j)\rangle, \tag{131}$$

with $W_{ij}^T = Z^i X^j$, and then exploit the following property of the Bell states

$$|\psi(k,l)\rangle = (W_{kl}^T \otimes \mathbb{1}_2)|\psi(0,0)\rangle, \tag{132}$$

with $W_{kl}^T = Z^k X^l$ to complete the proof.

In view of the matrix formulation of the Bell transform (14), the phase gate E (13) is associated with global phases in single-qubit gates $V_{k'l'}$ and $U_{i'j'}$ in (129), so that unitary correction operators $(V_{k'l'}U_{i'j'})^\dagger$ or $(W_{k'l'}W_{i'j'})^\dagger$ in quantum teleportation give rise to the same quantum state $|\phi\rangle$ modulo a global phase. Hence the phase gate E does not play physical roles in quantum teleportation. On the other hand, the phase gate E in rather meaningful in the sense of quantum computation. When the phase gate E is a Clifford gate, single-qubit gates $V_{k'l'}$ and $U_{i'j'}$ are elements of the Pauli group. But usually, the phase gate E is not a Clifford gate, for example, $E = T_1$ with the T gate (16). Hence the standard description of quantum teleportation can be regarded as quantum non-Clifford gate computation, which is beyond the traditional viewpoint that quantum teleportation is a typical example for quantum Clifford gate computation.

References

[1] M.A. Nielsen and I.L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2000 and 2011).

[2] J. Preskill, *Online Lecture Notes on Quantum Computation*, http://www.theory.caltech.edu/preskill.

[3] D. Deutsch and R. Jozsa, *Rapid Solutions of Problems by Quantum Computation*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A **439**, 553 (1992).

[4] D.R. Simon, *On the Power of Quantum Computation*, Proc. of the 35th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science. IEEE Press, Los Alamitos, CA, pp. 116 - 123 (1994).

[5] P.W. Shor, *Algorithms for Quantum Computation: Discrete Logarithms and Factoring*, Proc. of the 35th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science. IEEE Press, Los Alamitos, CA, pp. 124 - 134 (1994).

[6] A. Kitaev, *Quantum Measurements and the Abelian Stabilizer Problem*, arXiv:quant-ph/9511026.

[7] R. Jozsa, *Quantum Algorithms and the Fourier Transform*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A **454**, 323 (1998).

[8] C.H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres and W.K. Wootters, *Teleporting an Unknown Quantum State via Dual Classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen Channels*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895 (1993).

[9] L. Vaidman, *Teleportation of Quantum States*, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 1473-1475 (1994).

[10] S.L. Braunstein, G.M. D'Ariano, G.J. Milburn and M.F. Sacchi, *Universal Teleportation with a Twist*, Phys. Rev. Let. **84**, 3486-3489 (2000).

[11] R. F. Werner, *All Teleportation and Dense Coding Schemes*, J.Phys. A: Math. Theor. **35**, 7081-7094 (2001).

[12] D. Gottesman and I. Chuang, *Demonstrating the Viability of Universal Quantum Computation Using Teleportation and Single-Qubit Operations*, Nature **402**, 390 (1999).

[13] M.A. Nielsen, *Universal Quantum Computation Using Only Projective Measurement, Quantum Memory, and Preparation of the* 0 *State*, Phys. Lett. A **308**, 96 (2003).

[14] D.W. Leung, *Quantum Computation by Measurements*, Int. J. Quantum Inf. **2**, 33 (2004).

[15] Y. Zhang and J-L. Pang, *Space-Time Topology in Teleportation-Based Quantum Computation*, arXiv:1309.0955.

[16] D.M. Greenberger, M.A.Horne and A.Zeilinger, *Going beyond Bell's Theorem*, in *Bell's Theorem, Quantum Theory, and Conceptions of the Universe*, edited by M. Kafatos, pp. 73-76, (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1989).

[17] D.M. Greenberger, M.A. Horne, A. Shirnony, and A.Zeilinger, *Bell's Theorem Without Inequalities*, Am. J. Phys. **58**, 1131-1143 (1990).

[18] J.S. Bell, *On the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen paradox*, Physics **1** 195-200 (1964).

[19] D. Gottesman, *Stabilizer Codes and Quantum Error Correction Codes*, Ph.D. Thesis, CalTech, Pasadena, CA, 1997.

[20] C.N. Yang, *Some Exact Results for the Many Body Problems in One Dimension with Repulsive Delta Function Interaction*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 1312-1314 (1967). R.J. Baxter, *Partition Function of the Eight-Vertex Lattice Model*, Annals Phys. **70**, 193-228 (1972). J.H.H. Perk and H. Au-Yang, *Yang-Baxter Equations*, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 5, 465-473 (Elsevier Science, Oxford, 2006).

[21] L.H. Kauffman and S.J. Lomonaco Jr., *Braiding Operators are Universal Quantum Gates*, New Journal of Physics **6**, 134 (2004). J. Franko, E.C. Rowell and Z. Wang, *Extraspecial 2-Groups and Images of Braid Group Representations*. J. Knot Theory Ramifications **15**, 413-428 (2006). H. Dye, *Unitary Solutions to the Yang–Baxter Equation in Dimension Four*, Quantum Inform. Process. **2**, 117-150 (2003).

[22] Y. Zhang, L.H. Kauffman and M.L. Ge, *Universal Quantum Gate, Yang–Baxterization and Hamiltonian*. Int. J. Quant. Inform. **4**, 669-678 (2005). Y. Zhang, N. Jing and M.L. Ge, *Quantum Algebras Associated with Bell States*. J.Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 055310 (2008).

[23] Y. Makhlin, *Nonlocal Properties of Two-Qubit Gates and Mixed States and Optimization of Quantum Computations*, Quantum. Inf. Process. **1**, 243 (2002).

[24] K. Fujii and T. Suzuki, *On the Magic Matrix by Makhlin and the B-C-H Formula in SO(4)*. Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **4**, 897-905 2007. K. Fujii, H. Oike and T. Suzuki, *More on the Isomorphism* $SU(2) \otimes SU(2) \cong SO(4)$. Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **4**, 471-485 (2007).

[25] L. Valiant, *Quantum Circuits that can be Simulated Classically in Polynomial Time*. SIAM J. Computing **31**, 1229-1254 (2002).

[26] B.M. Terhal and D.P. DiVincenzo, *Classical Simulation of Noninteracting-Fermion Quantum Circuits*, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 032325/1-10 (2002). E. Knill, *Fermionic Linear Optics and Matchgates*. arXiv:quant-ph/0108033.

[27] R. Jozsa and A. Miyake, *Matchgates and Classical Simulation of Quantum Circuits*, Proc. R. Soc. A **464**, 3089-3106 (2008). R. Jozsa, B. Kraus, A. Miyake and J. Watrous, *Matchgate and Space-Bounded Quantum Computations are Equivalent*, Proc. R. Soc. A **466**, 809-830 (2010). M. Van den Nest, *Quantum Matchgate Computations and Linear Threshold Gates*, Proc. R. Soc. A **467**, 821-840 (2011).

[28] S. Ramelow, A. Fedrizzi, A. M. Steinberg and A. G. White, *Matchgate Quantum Computing and Non-local Process Analysis*, New J. Phys. **12**, 083027 (2010).

[29] D.J. Brod and E.F. Galvão, *Extending Matchgates into Universal Quantum Computation* Phys. Rev. A **84**, 022310 (2011).

[30] Y. Zhang, *Integrable Quantum Computation*, Quantum Inf. Process. **12**, 631-639 (2013). Y. Zhang, *Quantum Computing via the Bethe Ansatz*, Quantum Inf. Process. **11**, 585-590 (2012). Y. Zhang, *Quantum Error Correction Code in the Hamiltonian Formulation*, arXiv:0801.2561. Y. Zhang, L.H. Kauffman and M.L. Ge, *Yang–Baxterizations, Universal Quantum Gates and Hamiltonians*, Quantum Inf. Process. **4**, 159-197 (2005).

[31] R. Jozsa, *Embedding Classical into Quantum Computation*, LNCS 5393 Beth Festschrift, J. Calmet, W. Geiselmann, J. Mueller-Quade (Eds.), 43-49 (2008).

[32] Y. Zhang, *Teleportation, Braid Group and Temperley–Lieb Algebra*, J.Phys. A: Math. Theor. **39**, 11599-11622 (2006); Y. Zhang, *Braid Group, Temperley–Lieb Algebra, and Quantum Information and Computation*, AMS Contemporary Mathematics **482**, 52 (2009).

[33] P.O. Boykin, T. Mor, M. Pulver, V. Roychowdhury and F. Vatan, *A New Universal and Fault-Tolerant Quantum Basis*, Inf. Process. Lett. **75**, 101-107 (2000).

[34] Y. Zhang and M.L. Ge, *GHZ States, Almost-Complex Structure and Yang–Baxter Equation*, Quantum Inf. Process. **6**, 363-379 (2007). E.C. Rowell, Y. Zhang, Y.-S. Wu and M.L. Ge, *Extraspecial Two-Groups, Generalized Yang–Baxter Equations and Braiding Quantum Gates*, Quant. Inf. Comput. **10**, 0685-0702 (2010). C.-L. Ho, A.I. Solomon and C.-H.Oh, *Quantum Entanglement, Unitary Braid Representation and Temperley-Lieb Algebra*, EPL **92**, 30002 (2010).

[35] M.B. Plenio and S. Virmani, *An Introduction to Entanglement Measures*, Quant. Inf. Comput. **7**, 1-51 (2007).

[36] D. Bruss, *Characterizing Entanglement*, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 4237 (2002).

[37] P. Zanardi, C. Zalka and L. Faoro, *Entangling Power of Quantum Evolutions*, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 030301 (2000).

[38] B. Kraus and J.I. Cirac, *Optimal Creation of Entanglement Using a Two-Qubit Gate*, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 062309 (2001).

[39] A. Barenco, C. H. Bennett, R. Cleve, D. P. DiVincenzo, N. H. Margolus, P. W. Shor, T. Sleator, J. A. Smolin, and H. Weinfurter. *Elementary Gates for Quantum Computation*, Phys. Rev. A **52**, 3457-3467 (1995).

[40] J.L. Brylinski and R. Brylinski, Universal quantum gates, in *Mathematics of Quantum Computation*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 2002 (edited by R. Brylinski and G. Chen).

[41] M.J. Bremner, C.M. Dawson, J.L. Dodd, A. Gilchrist1, A.W. Harrow1, D. Mortimer, M.A. Nielsen and T.J. Osborne, *Practical Scheme for Quantum Computation with Any Two-Qubit Entangling Gate*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 247902 (2002).

[42] L.-W. Yu, Q. Zhao and M.L. Ge, *Factorized Three-body S-Matrix Restrained by Yang-Baxter Equation and Quantum Entanglements*, arXiv:1311.6198. J.-L. Chen, K. Xue, M.L. Ge, *Braiding Transformation, Entanglement Swapping and Berry Phase in Entanglement Space*, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 042324 (2007).

[43] P.-X. Chen, S.-Y. Zhu, and G.-C. Guo, *General Form of Genuine Multipartite Entanglement Quantum Channels for Teleportation*, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 032324 (2006).

[44] C.-Y. Cheung and Z.-J. Zhang, *Criterion for faithful teleportation with an arbitrary multiparticle channel*, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 022327 (2009).

[45] M.-J. Zhao, Z.-G. Li, X. Li-Jost, S.-M. Fei, *Multiqubit Quantum Teleportation*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45**, 405303 (2012).

[46] W.-L. Li, C.-F. Li and G.-C. Guo, *Probabilistic Teleportation and Entanglement Matching*, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 034301 (2000).

[47] P. Agrawal and A.K. Pati, *Probabilistic Quantum Teleportation*, Phys. Lett. A **305**, 12 (2002).

[48] G. Gordon and G. Rigolin, *Generalized Teleportation Protocol*, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 042309 (2006).

[49] R. Raussendorf and H.J. Briegel, *A One-Way Quantum Computer*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5188 (2001).

[50] R. Jozsa, *An Introduction to Measurement Based Quantum Computation*, arXiv:quant-ph/0508124.

[51] Y. Zhang, *Quantum Fourier Transform Over Galois Rings*, arXiv:0904.2560.

[52] S. Bravyi and A. Kitaev, *Fermionic Quantum Computation*, Ann. Phys. **298**, 210-226 (2002).